

### **REMARKS**

Editorial corrections have been made to the specification. Figures 8 and 11A have been amended (as discussed above in "Amendments to the Drawings"). Claims 1, 6 - 7, 10, 12, 15, and 17 have been amended. No new matter has been introduced with these corrections or amendments, all of which are supported in the specification as originally filed. Claims 1 - 19 remain in the application.

#### **I. Double Patenting Rejection**

Paragraph 1 of the Office Action dated September 30, 2004 (hereinafter, "the Office Action") states that Claims 1 - 7, 10 - 12, and 15 - 17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1 - 7, 9 - 11, and 13 - 15 of co-pending application No. 09/930,325 to Ciciarelli et al. A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith, and the Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

#### **II. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)**

Paragraph 17 of the Office Action states that Claims 1 - 2, 4 - 6, and 10 - 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,051,032 to Harrison et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended their independent Claims 1, 10, and 15 to more clearly specify that an installation package according to their invention comprises a suite level and a component

Serial No. 09/930,359

-12-

RSW920010067US1

level. Applicants do not find teachings of this structure in Harrison. Accordingly, these independent claims are deemed patentable as currently presented. Dependent Claims 2, 4 - 6, 11 - 14, and 16 - 17 are therefore deemed patentable over the reference as well, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the §102(b) rejection.

### III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Paragraph 23 of the Office Action states that Claims 1 - 6 and 8 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by commonly-assigned U. S. Patent 6,601,236 to Curtis. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed above, the amendments submitted herewith for Applicants' independent Claims 1, 10, and 15 include limitations specifying that an installation package according to the invention comprises a suite level and a component level. Curtis has no teaching of this structure. Independent Claims 1, 10, and 15 are thus deemed patentable over Curtis, and dependent Claims 2 - 6, 8 - 9, 11 - 14, and 16 - 19 are deemed patentable over the Curtis by virtue of the allowability of the independent claims. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to withdraw the §102(e) rejection.

### IV. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Paragraph 31 of the Office Action states that Claims 8 - 9, 13 - 14, and 18 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent 6,051,032 to Harrison et al. Paragraph 38 of the Office Action states that Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Serial No. 09/930,359

-13-

RSW920010067US1


as being unpatentable over Harrison in view of "JavaBeans v1.01" by Sun Microsystems (1997). Paragraph 42 of the Office Action states that Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison in view of U. S. Patent 6,233,565 to Lewis et al. Paragraph 47 of the Office Action states that Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Curtis in view of Lewis. Paragraph 52 of the Office Action states that Claims 8, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Curtis. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicants find no teaching in Harrison, the JavaBeans reference, Lewis, and/or Curtis of installation using an installation package that comprises a suite level and a component level. Claims 3, 7 - 9, 13 - 14, and 18 - 19 are therefore deemed patentable over these references, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the §103(a) rejections.

V. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the pending rejected claims, withdrawal of all presently outstanding rejections, and allowance of all claims at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,



Marcia L. Doubet  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 40,999

Customer Number for Correspondence: 43168  
Phone: 407-343-7586; Fax: 407-343-7587

Attachment: Replacement Sheets (2)

Serial No. 09/930,359

-14-

RSW920010067US1